THE ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT OF WASTE MANAGEMENT Case Study



ACR⁺ International Seminar - 5&6 July 2011 The Interpretation of LCT in the Waste Management Hierarchy

Alexandra Lalet

• Context of the study

Methodology

• Ecological Footprint calculations

• Conclusions

- A French territory of 300 000 inhabitants
- An annual production of 99 356 tons of residual MSW

How the existing management of these waste can be improved ? Using existing or new facilities?





1. Detailed description of the existing waste management of the territory

2. Elaboration of 3 alternative scenarios

3. Assessment of the existing situation and the 3 alternative scenarios according to 3 criteria : regulation, economy & environment

4. Conclusions

1. Detailed description of the territory's existing waste management :

- Quantity and composition of waste
- Number, localisation and capacity of transfer, sorting, treatment and recovery facilities
- Existing local and national waste management policy and regulation

• ...

2. Elaboration of 3 alternative scenarios

	Characteristics	Scenario 1 Current situation	Scénario 2	Scénario 3	Scénario 4
Separate collection and composting of green waste	Compost production	Х	Х	Х	Х
Landfilling of remaining residual waste	Biogas recovery and electricity production	Х		Х	
Separate collection and composting of biowaste	Compost production			Х	
Incineration of remaining residual waste	Electricity and heat production		Х		
Mecanial-biological treatment of remaining residual waste	Compost and RDF production				Х



3. Assessment of the current situation and the 3 alternative scenarios according to 3 criteria : regulation, economy & environment

• Regulation :

> Grenelle 2 Law : recycling objectives, reducing
waste production objectives, ...

- Economic :
 - > Treatment cost
 - > Tax
- Environment : Ecological Footprint calculations



What is the Ecological Footprint?

- Born in the 90's
- Mean of assessing the human pressure on the biosphere



• Unit: global hectare a year (gha.an)

Bioproductive area : land and water area that supports significant photosynthetic activity and accumulation of biomass (cropland, grazing land, forest for timber and fuel wood, fishing ground, built-up and forest for carbon sequestration)



Why the Ecological Footprint ?

- Scientific frame ensured by the *Global* Footprint Network
- Awareness of limited natural resources
- Integration of the greenhouse effect
- Synthetic indicator understandable and appropriable by all stakeholders
- "Open Footprint" : principle of transparency



Hierarchy of the scenarios Economy Environment Regulation Existing situation +++++++ (with landfilling) Scenario 2 ++++++(with incineration) Scenario 3 +++++++++with composting) Scenario 4 ++++++++ ++(with MBT)



Conclusions of the study

- According to the criteria considered, scenario hierarchy differs > Priority criterion to define
- Use of data & assumptions specific to this case
 study > Results not transposable to another region

Conclusions on the methodology

- Relevant at a local scale
- A first step towards the integration of the LCT in decision making
- Essential to define criteria that every stakeholders can understand and make theirs

